Interview Summary	10/574,907	NISHIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1793	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.	(3) John W. Bailey (4) Chap M. Rink		
(2) Yun Qian	(4) Chap M. Rink		
Date of Interview: 4/28/2010			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed: Claim			
Identification of prior art discussed: Mizumoto et.al (NS. 4,631,263)			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ✓ was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Mr Bailly and Mr. Rink and the	e Examiners discussed	1 the recent office	e
Mr. Bailey and Mr. Rink and the Examiners discussed the recent office action. Applicants propose amender to amend claim! Examiners believe that			
prior art of Mizumoto et. al tenches the catalyst inside its layers (see Fig 2)			
Prior art of Mizumoto et. al tenches the caraches to claim! No agreement Examiners suggest to add additional distingish features to claim! No agreement			
is reached.			
At al			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview	Summary	Paper No. 2010	0428

Application No.

Applicant(s)